FROM LEGAL COMPLIANCE TO STRATEGIC PERFORMANCE: RETHINKING LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT IN KENYA'S PUBLIC SECTOR

Yunis Haji Omar Mohamed.

International Academic Journal of Law and Society (IAJLS) | ISSN 2519-772X

Received: 19th February 2026

Published: 12th July 2026

Full Length Research

Available Online at: https://iajournals.org/articles/iajls_v1_i3_169_187.pdf

Citation: Mohamed, Y. H. O. (2026). From legal compliance to strategic performance: rethinking legal risk management in Kenya's public sector. *International Academic Journal of Law and Society, 1*(3), 169-187.

ABSTRACT

Legal compliance in public-sector organizations has traditionally been conceptualized as a control mechanism intended to ensure conformity with constitutional provisions, statutes, regulations and administrative requirements. However, the increasingly complex legal and regulatory environment in which public organizations operate suggests that compliance alone is insufficient to guarantee effective institutional performance. This article examines the strategic role of legal risk management in enhancing the performance of public-sector organizations in Kenya. The article adopts a conceptual review design based exclusively on secondary data drawn from the Constitution of Kenya, legislation, judicial authorities, peer-reviewed literature, institutional reports and international governance instruments. The review conceptualizes legal risk management through seven interrelated dimensions: legal-risk identification, compliance assurance, strategic legal advisory, contract governance, regulatory change management, dispute and litigation management, and legal audit and assurance. Strategic performance is conceptualized multidimensionally to include financial stewardship, operational effectiveness, service-delivery effectiveness, governance effectiveness, institutional legitimacy and organizational resilience. The review demonstrates that Kenya's constitutional framework provides a strong foundation for integrating legal risk management into strategic management. Articles 10, 47, 73, 201, 227 and 232 of the Constitution establish requirements concerning the rule of law, integrity, lawful administrative action, public trust, prudent management of public resources, procurement and effective public service (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). The Public Finance Management Act, 2012, Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015, Fair Administrative Action Act, 2015, Leadership and Integrity Act, 2012, Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act, 2003, Anti-Bribery Act, 2016 and Data Protection Act, 2019 further expand the legal-risk environment confronting public institutions. Evidence from oversight institutions indicates persistent weaknesses in internal controls, procurement, financial management, risk management and governance, suggesting that the existence of legal rules does not automatically translate into effective risk management. The article argues that public organizations should move from a reactive model in which legal departments primarily respond to disputes toward a proactive model in which legal expertise is integrated into strategy formulation, procurement, contract management, risk assessment and organizational decision-making. The article concludes that legal risk management should be treated as a strategic governance capability capable of protecting public resources, improving decision quality, reducing avoidable liabilities, strengthening institutional legitimacy and supporting sustainable public service delivery.

Keywords: Legal Risk Management, Legal Compliance, Strategic Performance, Legal Advisory, Governance, Public Finance, Procurements.

INTRODUCTION

Public-sector organizations operate within an institutional environment in which virtually every major organizational decision has legal implications. Decisions relating to procurement, public finance, employment, contracting, infrastructure development, information management, service delivery and strategic partnerships are constrained by constitutional principles, statutory requirements, regulatory standards and judicial interpretation. The increasing complexity of these obligations has made legal risk an important component of organizational risk management and public-sector governance (OECD, 2020).

Legal risk management has traditionally been viewed from a defensive perspective. Under this approach, the legal department is primarily responsible for reviewing contracts, providing legal opinions, responding to disputes and representing the organization in court. Although these functions remain important, they do not fully capture the strategic contribution that legal expertise can make to organizational performance. Contemporary risk-management approaches increasingly emphasize prevention, anticipation, monitoring and integration of risk considerations into organizational decision-making (OECD, 2020).

The distinction between legal compliance and legal risk management is therefore important. Legal compliance asks whether an organization has complied with applicable law, while legal risk management considers the broader question of how legal exposure can be identified, assessed and controlled before it materializes. A public organization may technically comply with a particular statutory requirement and nevertheless expose itself to significant contractual, litigation, reputational or regulatory risk if the wider legal consequences of a decision have not been adequately considered.

This distinction is especially important in Kenya following the promulgation of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010. The Constitution transformed the legal environment of public administration by embedding national values and principles of governance, public participation, integrity, transparency, accountability, public trust, prudent financial management, lawful administrative action and effective public service within the constitutional framework (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). Article 10 binds State organs, State officers and public officers to national values and principles of governance whenever they apply or interpret the Constitution or any law or implement public policy decisions. Article 73 further provides that authority assigned to a State officer is a public trust.

Article 201 establishes constitutional principles governing public finance, including openness, accountability and prudent and responsible use of public money. Article 227 requires public entities to procure goods and services through a system that is fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost-effective (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). Article 232 further requires public service to observe professional ethics, efficient and effective use of resources, accountability, transparency and responsive service delivery. These provisions demonstrate that legality is not separate from performance. Rather, lawful use of public authority and resources forms part of the constitutional conception of effective public administration.

The international governance literature similarly recognizes the importance of integrating risk management with organizational objectives. The OECD (2020) argues that effective internal control and risk management help public organizations reduce vulnerability to fraud and corruption, support value for money, improve decision-making and provide assurance concerning efficiency, performance and compliance with laws. The OECD further advocates periodic risk assessment, identification of control weaknesses, monitoring and quality assurance rather than treating risk management as a purely formal compliance exercise.

In Kenya, the importance of these principles is reinforced by continuing findings of public oversight institutions concerning weaknesses in financial management, internal controls, procurement, risk management and governance. Such weaknesses can create consequences extending beyond audit qualifications or administrative sanctions to include financial loss, contractual disputes, litigation, delayed projects and erosion of institutional legitimacy.

The central argument of this article is therefore that legal risk management should be repositioned from a reactive compliance function to a strategic governance capability. Legal advisers should not become involved only after a dispute has emerged or after management has made a significant decision. Instead, legal expertise should be integrated into strategic planning, risk assessment, procurement planning, contract governance, policy formulation and organizational transformation. The article consequently examines the conceptual nexus between legal risk management and strategic performance in Kenya's public sector and develops a framework explaining how proactive legal-risk management can contribute to financial stewardship, operational effectiveness, service delivery, governance, legitimacy and organizational resilience.

Background to the Study

The public sector occupies a distinctive position within Kenya's governance system because public institutions exercise authority and manage resources on behalf of citizens. Their legitimacy therefore depends not only upon the achievement of organizational targets but also upon the legality, transparency and accountability of the processes through which those targets are pursued. The Constitution of Kenya, 2010 establishes this relationship by linking the exercise of public authority to national values, public trust, accountability and the rule of law (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

Article 10 is particularly significant because it requires State organs, State officers and public officers to observe national values and principles of governance. These include the rule of law, good governance, integrity, transparency and accountability. The provision therefore makes governance values legally relevant to organizational decision-making rather than treating them merely as desirable administrative principles.

Article 73 provides an additional foundation by conceptualizing State authority as a public trust. State officers are consequently required to exercise authority in a manner consistent with the purposes and objects of the Constitution and to demonstrate objectivity, impartiality and accountability (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). The public-trust principle creates significant implications for legal risk management because decisions involving public resources and authority must be capable of legal and ethical justification.

The financial-management environment further demonstrates the relationship between legal compliance and organizational performance. Article 201 requires public finance to be managed in accordance with principles of openness and accountability, including prudent and responsible use of public money. The Public Finance Management Act, 2012 operationalizes these constitutional principles and establishes responsibilities for public officers involved in financial management (Public Finance Management Act, 2012).

Consequently, financial mismanagement is not merely a financial problem. Where public resources are used contrary to law, the consequences may include recovery proceedings, personal liability, disciplinary action, litigation and reputational damage. Article 226 of the Constitution is particularly important because it provides for accountability concerning the use of public funds and establishes consequences where public funds are used contrary to law (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

Procurement represents another major area where legal risk and organizational performance intersect. Article 227 requires public entities to procure goods and services through a fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost-effective system. The Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 provides the statutory framework for implementing these constitutional principles.

Procurement decisions can generate several interconnected risks. An irregular procurement may result in administrative review, judicial proceedings, financial losses, delayed project implementation and reputational consequences. Contract variations, inadequate performance monitoring, defective tender procedures and disputes concerning evaluation may similarly expose public entities to legal and operational risks.

Administrative law is another important source of public-sector legal risk. Article 47 provides every person with the right to administrative action that is expeditious, efficient, lawful, reasonable and procedurally fair (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). The Fair Administrative Action Act, 2015 provides mechanisms through which administrative decisions can be reviewed. Public-sector organizations must consequently ensure that decisions affecting employees, citizens, suppliers and other stakeholders are not only substantively lawful but also procedurally fair.

The integrity environment further expands legal obligations. The Leadership and Integrity Act, 2012, Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act, 2003 and Anti-Bribery Act, 2016 establish obligations and offences relating to integrity, corruption, bribery and abuse of public office.

Legal risk management must therefore encompass integrity risks alongside conventional contractual and litigation risks.

Digital transformation has created another layer of legal exposure. Article 31 of the Constitution protects privacy, while the Data Protection Act, 2019 establishes requirements concerning the collection, processing, storage and disclosure of personal information. Public institutions increasingly depend on digital systems, making legal risk relevant to information sharing, digital procurement, cybersecurity, data governance and electronic service delivery.

The contemporary legal-risk environment is therefore multidimensional. It encompasses constitutional risk, regulatory risk, contractual risk, litigation risk, procurement risk, employment risk, financial risk, integrity risk, data-protection risk and administrative-law risk. International evidence reinforces the importance of a preventive approach. The OECD (2020) emphasizes that public-sector risk management should be connected to organizational objectives and should involve periodic assessment, control mechanisms, monitoring and assurance. It further recognizes that internal control and risk management can help public organizations operate optimally while reducing vulnerability to fraud and corruption.

The challenge for Kenya is consequently not simply whether sufficient laws exist. The more important issue is whether public institutions have developed organizational systems capable of translating legal requirements into effective risk management and improved performance.

Problem Statement

Kenya possesses an extensive constitutional, statutory and institutional framework designed to promote lawful, accountable and effective public administration. The Constitution establishes requirements concerning good governance, public trust, administrative fairness, public finance, procurement and public service, while subsequent legislation operationalizes these requirements (Constitution of Kenya, 2010; Public Finance Management Act, 2012; Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015).

Despite this elaborate framework, weaknesses in public-sector governance and resource management continue to attract the attention of oversight institutions. The Auditor-General's mandate includes examining whether public resources have been applied lawfully and effectively, while the broader public-audit framework provides assurance concerning internal controls, risk management and governance.

These concerns are strategically important. Weak procurement controls may generate disputes and financial losses; inadequate contract management may produce claims and project delays; unlawful administrative decisions may result in litigation; poor financial controls may expose institutions to recovery proceedings; and integrity failures may damage institutional legitimacy.

The persistence of these problems raises a fundamental question: Why does the existence of an extensive legal framework not necessarily translate into effective legal-risk management and improved public-sector performance?

One possible explanation is the traditional positioning of legal departments as reactive support functions. In this model, management makes decisions, operational units implement them and legal counsel becomes involved when a contract requires review or a dispute emerges.
Such an approach differs from the preventive risk-management model recommended by the OECD (2020), which emphasizes risk identification, assessment, control, monitoring and feedback as integral elements of public-sector management.

The research and policy literature on public-sector performance in Kenya has extensively considered governance, procurement, leadership, financial management and internal controls. However, relatively limited attention has been directed toward legal risk management as an integrated strategic capability encompassing legal-risk identification, compliance assurance, legal advisory, contract governance, regulatory monitoring and dispute management. The gap is therefore conceptual as well as empirical. There is a need to explain how legal risk management can move beyond the prevention of legal violations to become a contributor to strategic performance.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The article adopted a qualitative conceptual review design based exclusively on secondary data. A conceptual review is appropriate where the objective is to synthesize existing theoretical, empirical, legal and institutional knowledge and use the synthesis to develop or refine a conceptual understanding of a phenomenon (Snyder, 2019; Xiao & Watson, 2019).

The design was considered appropriate because legal risk management is inherently multidisciplinary. It encompasses legal compliance, governance, risk management, public administration, procurement, public finance, strategic management and organizational performance. A purely doctrinal legal review would not adequately explain its organizational-performance implications, while a conventional quantitative study would not sufficiently capture the constitutional and statutory dimensions of the construct. The study therefore integrated legal analysis with scholarly and institutional literature.

Sources of Secondary Data

The article relied on four principal categories of secondary sources. The first consisted of Kenyan constitutional and statutory materials, including the Constitution of Kenya, 2010, Public Finance Management Act, 2012, Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015, Fair Administrative Action Act, 2015, Leadership and Integrity Act, 2012, Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act, 2003, Anti-Bribery Act, 2016 and Data Protection Act, 2019.

The second category consisted of judicial decisions interpreting constitutional, statutory and administrative requirements. Judicial decisions were important because legal risk is influenced not only by legislation but also by judicial interpretation and enforcement.

The third category comprised scholarly literature, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, theses and dissertations addressing legal compliance, governance, risk management, procurement, public financial management and organizational performance.

The fourth category comprised institutional and international reports from organizations such as the Office of the Auditor-General, Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission, Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, United Nations and African Union.

Literature Search Strategy

The review employed keyword combinations including "legal risk management," "legal compliance," "legal advisory," "public-sector performance," "public-sector governance," "regulatory compliance," "contract governance," "public procurement compliance," "risk management and public organizations," and "legal risk and organizational performance." Additional searches combined these concepts with Kenya, state corporations, government agencies, public institutions and public administration.

Academic literature was identified from scholarly databases and institutional repositories, while legislation and judicial authorities were obtained from authoritative legal sources. Preference was given to original legislation, official reports, peer-reviewed research and primary judicial authorities.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Sources were included where they addressed legal risk, compliance, governance, procurement, public finance, public-sector risk management, contract management or organizational performance. Kenyan studies were prioritized, although international literature was incorporated where it provided relevant theoretical or comparative insights.

Literature published from 2013 onwards was emphasized because the article focuses on the post-2010 constitutional environment. Earlier seminal theoretical works were retained where they provided foundational concepts, including agency theory, institutional theory, stakeholder theory and stewardship theory. Primary legal authorities were included where they remained relevant regardless of publication date. Sources lacking identifiable authorship, methodological credibility or institutional authority were excluded.

Legal and Doctrinal Analysis

The legal analysis began with the Constitution as the supreme legal framework. Particular attention was paid to Articles 10, 47, 73, 201, 227 and 232 because of their direct relevance to public governance, administrative action, public trust, financial management, procurement and public service. Legislation was subsequently examined to determine how constitutional requirements are translated into organizational obligations. Judicial decisions were analyzed to identify how courts have interpreted these requirements and the potential consequences of unlawful administrative, procurement and financial decisions.

Data Extraction and Thematic Synthesis

The reviewed literature was organized into two principal thematic categories. The first was legal risk management, comprising legal-risk identification, compliance assurance, strategic legal advisory, contract governance, regulatory change management, dispute and litigation management, and legal audit and assurance. The second was strategic performance, comprising financial stewardship, operational effectiveness, service-delivery effectiveness, governance effectiveness, institutional legitimacy and organizational resilience. Thematic synthesis was then used to identify recurring relationships between these constructs.

Assessment of Source Credibility

Source credibility was assessed using authorship, institutional authority, methodological transparency, relevance, recency and consistency with other sources. Primary legal sources were prioritized for statements concerning legal obligations. Official institutional reports were prioritized for claims concerning public-sector oversight. Peer-reviewed literature was prioritized for theoretical and empirical relationships. The review also distinguished between legal requirements and empirical evidence. The existence of a legal obligation was not treated as proof that public institutions complied with that obligation.

Analytical Framework

The analysis followed four stages. First, the legal and institutional environment governing public organizations was mapped. Second, theoretical perspectives explaining the relationship between controls and performance were examined. Third, empirical and institutional evidence was synthesized. Fourth, the findings were used to develop a conceptual framework connecting legal risk management with strategic performance.

The analysis assumed that legal risk management contributes to performance through two broad mechanisms: risk reduction and value creation.

Risk reduction occurs through prevention of litigation, penalties, contractual losses, regulatory breaches and financial exposure. Value creation occurs when legal expertise improves strategic decisions, contractual arrangements, organizational policies and institutional legitimacy.

Reliability and Trustworthiness

Conventional quantitative reliability tests such as Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability, ANOVA and regression diagnostics were not applicable because the study did not collect primary quantitative data. Instead, trustworthiness was enhanced through source triangulation. Constitutional and statutory requirements were compared with judicial decisions, empirical studies and institutional reports. This approach helped distinguish normative expectations from actual institutional practices.

Ethical Considerations

The study relied exclusively on publicly available secondary information and therefore did not involve human participants, interviews or questionnaires. Academic integrity was maintained through appropriate citation and acknowledgment of all sources using APA 7th edition.

Conceptual Review

Legal Risk Management

Legal risk management refers to the systematic identification, assessment, mitigation, monitoring and reporting of risks arising from laws, regulations, contracts, judicial decisions and administrative requirements.

It differs from legal compliance because it considers not only whether an organization has complied with a rule but also the potential consequences of legal exposure and the measures that can be taken to prevent or minimize those consequences.
This approach is consistent with the OECD (2020), which advocates risk assessment linked to organizational objectives and emphasizes preventive rather than purely enforcement-oriented approaches.

Legal-Risk Identification

Legal-risk identification involves systematically determining the legal obligations and potential liabilities associated with organizational activities.

It may include statutory mapping, contract-risk assessment, litigation inventories, regulatory monitoring and assessment of legal implications of strategic decisions.
Identification is strategically important because unrecognized legal risks cannot be effectively controlled.

Compliance Assurance

Compliance assurance involves determining whether organizational processes conform to applicable laws, regulations, policies and contractual obligations.

In public organizations, compliance assurance is particularly important because public authority is constitutionally constrained. Article 10, Article 73 and Article 232 establish standards that public officers and institutions must observe (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).
Compliance assurance therefore contributes to organizational legitimacy as well as risk reduction.

Strategic Legal Advisory

Strategic legal advisory represents the transition from reactive legal services toward proactive decision support.

Under this approach, legal advisers participate in major strategic decisions before implementation. Their role includes identifying constitutional and statutory constraints, assessing contractual exposure, advising on governance options and identifying potential disputes.

Legal counsel consequently becomes part of the organization's strategic-risk architecture.

Contract Governance

Contract governance involves the legal and managerial processes through which contracts are designed, negotiated, executed, monitored, varied and terminated.

Poor contract governance can result in financial claims, disputes, delays and inability to enforce institutional rights.

Effective contract governance therefore connects legal management directly to financial and operational performance.

Regulatory Change Management

The legal environment is dynamic. New legislation, regulations and judicial decisions can alter organizational obligations.

Regulatory change management enables institutions to identify legal changes, assess their implications and modify organizational procedures accordingly.

It is particularly important in sectors characterized by extensive regulation and rapid technological transformation.

Dispute and Litigation Management

Dispute management concerns the identification, assessment and resolution of legal disputes.
A strategic approach does not define success simply as winning cases. It seeks to reduce the occurrence of disputes, resolve appropriate disputes early and identify systemic causes that can be addressed through organizational reforms.

Legal Audit and Assurance

Legal audit involves systematic examination of an institution's legal exposure and compliance position.
It can identify recurring contractual weaknesses, unimplemented legal opinions, statutory gaps and litigation patterns.
Legal assurance therefore complements internal audit and enterprise risk management.

Strategic Performance

Strategic performance in public organizations should be conceptualized more broadly than financial performance. Public organizations exist to achieve public mandates and create public value; consequently, their performance should encompass efficiency, effectiveness, accountability, service quality and institutional sustainability (Moore, 1995).

Financial stewardship represents one dimension. Article 201 requires prudent and responsible use of public money (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

Operational effectiveness concerns the extent to which an organization converts resources into planned outputs efficiently.
Service-delivery effectiveness concerns whether citizens receive accessible, timely, responsive and quality services.
Governance effectiveness concerns transparency, accountability, integrity and lawful decision-making.
Institutional legitimacy concerns stakeholder confidence and public trust.
Organizational resilience concerns the capacity to withstand legal, regulatory, financial and operational disruptions while continuing to achieve its mandate.
Legal risk management can potentially affect each of these dimensions.

THEORETICAL REVIEW

Agency Theory

Agency theory explains organizational relationships in terms of principals and agents and emphasizes information asymmetry, divergent interests and monitoring costs (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).
Public-sector institutions present agency challenges because public officials exercise authority and manage resources on behalf of citizens.
Legal rules reduce agency problems by defining responsibilities, limiting discretion and establishing accountability mechanisms.
Legal risk management can therefore reduce agency costs through compliance monitoring, contractual controls and legal assurance.

Institutional Theory

Institutional theory emphasizes the influence of formal rules, norms and expectations on organizational behavior (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).
Public institutions experience strong institutional pressures from constitutions, legislation, regulators, courts, Parliament and citizens.
Compliance with these institutional requirements can therefore contribute to legitimacy.
Legal risk management provides mechanisms through which organizations can respond to these institutional pressures.

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory argues that organizations should consider the interests of groups affected by organizational decisions (Freeman, 1984).
Public organizations have extensive stakeholder networks including citizens, employees, suppliers, regulators, Parliament, civil society and development partners.
Legal risk management supports stakeholder interests through transparency, procedural fairness, accountability and protection of public resources.

Stewardship Theory

Stewardship theory views managers as custodians of organizational resources whose interests may align with institutional objectives (Davis et al., 1997).

The concept is particularly relevant to public administration because Article 73 of the Constitution characterizes State authority as a public trust.

Legal advisory and assurance can support stewardship by helping public officials exercise authority responsibly and lawfully.

International Legal and Governance Perspective

The Kenyan approach to legal risk management should be understood within broader international principles of public integrity and governance.

The United Nations Convention against Corruption emphasizes preventive measures, transparency, accountability and integrity in public administration (United Nations, 2004).

The African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption similarly emphasizes preventive measures, accountability and integrity within public institutions (African Union, 2003).

The OECD (2020) provides a particularly relevant framework by advocating strategic risk management linked to organizational objectives. Its Public Integrity Handbook emphasizes that effective internal control and risk management reduce vulnerability to fraud and corruption, support value for money and facilitate decision-making.

The OECD framework is important because it shifts the emphasis from a purely enforcement-oriented model toward preventive risk management. It recommends periodic risk assessment, identification of control weaknesses, monitoring and quality assurance.

This approach supports the central argument of the article that legal compliance should be integrated into organizational strategy rather than treated as a separate administrative requirement.

EMPIRICAL LITERATURE

Empirical literature directly examining legal risk management and strategic performance in Kenyan public organizations remains limited. Nevertheless, evidence from related fields provides important insights.

Research on procurement compliance has demonstrated that adherence to procurement requirements is relevant to public-sector performance. Chemoiywo (2014), for example, examined procurement procedures and supply-chain performance in Kenyan state corporations, with attention to compliance with procurement requirements. The study illustrates the connection between procedural compliance and organizational supply-chain outcomes.

More recent Kenyan research has continued to identify procurement and contract-management practices as important components of public-sector performance. Studies of procurement management have generally emphasized procurement planning, supplier selection, contract administration and compliance as factors influencing organizational outcomes.

The importance of compliance is also evident in public-sector oversight reports. The Auditor-General's constitutional mandate includes auditing public resources and reporting on whether they have been applied lawfully and effectively (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). This mandate is significant because it formally connects legality with effectiveness rather than treating them as separate dimensions.

The empirical literature nevertheless exhibits a fragmented approach. Procurement compliance is often studied separately from legal advisory; contract management separately from litigation; and internal controls separately from legal assurance. This fragmentation represents a knowledge gap. Legal risk management is inherently cross-functional and should therefore be examined as an integrated organizational capability.

Constitutional and Statutory Framework in Kenya

The Constitution of Kenya, 2010 provides the principal foundation for legal risk management in the public sector.

Article 10 establishes the national values and principles of governance, including the rule of law, integrity, transparency and accountability. Article 47 establishes the right to lawful, reasonable and procedurally fair administrative action. Article 73 establishes public authority as a public trust. Article 201 establishes principles governing public finance. Article 227 establishes constitutional standards for public procurement, while Article 232 establishes principles governing public service (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

The Public Finance Management Act, 2012 translates constitutional public-finance principles into institutional obligations. Its framework emphasizes accountability, effective financial management and prudent use of public resources.

The Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 establishes procedures for public procurement and asset disposal and operationalizes Article 227.
The Fair Administrative Action Act, 2015 provides mechanisms for reviewing administrative action and strengthens the requirement that public decisions be lawful, reasonable and procedurally fair.
The Leadership and Integrity Act, 2012 provides a statutory framework for implementing constitutional requirements concerning leadership and integrity.
The Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act, 2003 and Anti-Bribery Act, 2016 address corruption and bribery risks.
The Data Protection Act, 2019 creates additional obligations concerning personal data and information governance, while the Access to Information Act, 2016 strengthens transparency obligations.

The combined effect of these instruments is to create an extensive legal-risk environment. Public institutions must therefore possess systems capable of identifying and managing legal exposure across multiple functional areas.

RESEARCH DISCUSSION

The central finding of the conceptual review is that legal risk management should be positioned within the strategic-management architecture of public-sector organizations.
The constitutional framework demonstrates that legality and performance are intrinsically connected. Article 201 does not simply require financial compliance; it requires prudent and responsible management of public money. Article 227 does not simply require procurement procedures; it requires a procurement system that is fair, transparent, competitive and cost-effective. Article 232 does not simply require adherence to administrative procedures; it connects public service with efficient and effective use of resources and responsive service delivery (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

This means that legal compliance can contribute to performance through several mechanisms.
First, legal-risk identification enables management to anticipate potential liabilities before committing resources.
Second, compliance assurance can reduce the probability of sanctions, disputes and financial losses.
Third, strategic legal advisory can improve the quality of major decisions by identifying legal constraints and alternative options before implementation.
Fourth, contract governance can protect public organizations from unfavorable contractual terms and unmanaged liabilities.
Fifth, regulatory change management can improve organizational adaptability.
Sixth, dispute management can reduce litigation costs and organizational disruption.
Seventh, legal assurance can provide management with feedback concerning systemic legal weaknesses.
These mechanisms are consistent with the OECD (2020) argument that risk management should be connected to organizational objectives and integrated into internal control.
The strategic role of legal departments should therefore extend beyond litigation management. Legal professionals possess specialized knowledge concerning constitutional requirements, statutory interpretation, contracts, administrative law and judicial precedent. Integrating this knowledge into strategic management can improve organizational decision-making.
A public institution that identifies a potential legal problem before entering into a transaction can often manage that risk at considerably lower cost than an institution that waits until litigation arises.
The distinction can therefore be represented as:

Reactive model:
Decision → Transaction → Dispute → Legal intervention → Litigation → Resolution
Strategic model:

Strategy → Legal-risk identification → Legal advisory → Risk mitigation → Implementation → Monitoring → Assurance → Organizational learning

The second model is more consistent with contemporary risk-management thinking (OECD, 2020).

RESEARCH PROPOSITIONS

Based on the conceptual and theoretical review, the article advances the following propositions for future empirical testing.

Proposition 1: Legal-risk identification has a positive relationship with strategic performance of public-sector organizations in Kenya.

Proposition 2: Legal compliance assurance has a positive relationship with strategic performance of public-sector organizations in Kenya.

Proposition 3: Strategic legal advisory positively influences strategic decision-making and organizational performance.

Proposition 4: Effective contract governance positively influences financial stewardship and operational effectiveness.

Proposition 5: Regulatory change management positively influences organizational adaptability and resilience.

Proposition 6: Effective dispute and litigation management reduces financial and operational exposure.

Proposition 7: Legal audit and assurance positively influences governance effectiveness and institutional accountability.

Proposition 8: Integrated legal risk management has a positive relationship with overall strategic performance.

Proposition 9: Leadership commitment strengthens the relationship between legal risk management and strategic performance.

Proposition 10: Organizational legal capacity strengthens the relationship between legal risk management and strategic performance.

These propositions provide a basis for future empirical studies using survey, case-study, panel-data or mixed-method research designs.

Implications for Public-Sector Management

The article has several implications for managers and governing boards of public institutions.

First, legal risk management should be integrated into enterprise risk management rather than being treated as an isolated responsibility of the legal department. Legal risks frequently intersect with financial, operational, procurement and reputational risks and should therefore be assessed collectively.

Second, legal departments should be involved earlier in strategic decision-making. Their role should extend to strategic planning, major procurement, investments, organizational restructuring, public-private partnerships, technology adoption and significant contractual arrangements.

Third, organizations should develop legal-risk registers that identify the source of legal risk, potential consequences, likelihood, mitigation measures and responsible officers.

Fourth, contract management should be treated as a governance process involving legal, finance, procurement and technical functions.

Fifth, organizations should conduct periodic legal audits. Such audits can identify recurring weaknesses and ensure that lessons from previous disputes are incorporated into future organizational processes.

Sixth, legal opinions should be integrated into management decision-making. Where management decides not to follow significant legal advice, the residual risk and reasons for the decision should be appropriately documented.

Seventh, performance frameworks should recognize preventive legal work. A legal department should not be evaluated simply on the number of cases handled or won. Measures should also consider disputes prevented, implementation of legal advice, compliance levels, reduction of recurring claims and improvement in contract outcomes.

Policy Implications

The findings have implications for public-sector governance policy in Kenya.

Government and public-sector governance frameworks should explicitly recognize legal risk as a component of enterprise risk management. Existing risk-management systems should incorporate legal-risk indicators alongside financial, operational and strategic risks.

Public institutions could also develop standardized legal-risk management frameworks addressing statutory compliance, procurement, contracts, litigation, administrative decisions, integrity, data protection and regulatory change.

The governance role of boards and audit committees should also encompass oversight of significant legal exposure. Boards should receive periodic reports concerning material litigation, contractual liabilities, statutory compliance, unresolved legal opinions and significant regulatory developments.

The public-sector performance-management framework could similarly incorporate legal-risk indicators. Potential indicators include the number and value of significant litigation matters, age of unresolved cases, implementation of legal opinions, statutory compliance rates, number of procurement disputes, value of contractual claims and recurrence of legal-audit findings. Such indicators would shift attention from reactive legal expenditure toward preventive institutional performance.

Conclusion

This article examined the relationship between legal risk management and strategic performance in Kenya's public sector using a conceptual review of secondary legal, empirical and institutional evidence.

The review demonstrates that Kenya possesses an extensive constitutional and statutory framework governing public-sector legality, accountability and performance. Articles 10, 47, 73, 201, 227 and 232 of the Constitution establish important requirements concerning governance, administrative action, public trust, public finance, procurement and public service (Constitution of Kenya, 2010).

The Public Finance Management Act, Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, Fair Administrative Action Act, Leadership and Integrity Act, anti-corruption legislation and Data Protection Act further expand the legal responsibilities of public institutions.
However, the existence of laws does not automatically guarantee effective legal-risk management. Continuing concerns regarding internal controls, procurement, financial management, governance and risk management demonstrate the importance of translating formal legal requirements into organizational systems and decision-making processes.
The article consequently argues for a fundamental shift from legal compliance to strategic legal risk management.

Under the traditional model, legal departments primarily respond to problems after they emerge. Under the proposed strategic model, legal expertise becomes an integral component of organizational planning, risk assessment, contracting, governance, monitoring and learning. The strategic value of legal risk management should therefore not be measured merely by an institution's ability to defend itself in court. It should also be measured by its capacity to prevent disputes, protect public resources, improve contractual outcomes, support lawful innovation, strengthen decision-making, reduce institutional exposure and enhance public trust.

The central conceptual proposition of this article is therefore that legal risk management constitutes a strategic governance capability through which public organizations can convert legal knowledge and compliance requirements into improved organizational performance and public value.

Future empirical research should test the proposed relationship across Kenyan public institutions using primary organizational-level data. Such studies could examine whether specific dimensions of legal risk management have statistically significant effects on financial stewardship, operational effectiveness, service delivery, governance effectiveness and organizational resilience. Comparative studies across state corporations, county governments, national government agencies and constitutional commissions would further enhance understanding of the conditions under which legal risk management generates the greatest strategic value.

REFERENCES

African Union. (2003). *African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption*. African Union.

Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. (2017). *Enterprise risk management: Integrating with strategy and performance*. COSO.

Constitution of Kenya. (2010). *Constitution of Kenya, 2010*. Government Printer.

Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management Review, 22*(1), 20–47. https://doi.org/10.2307/259223

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics, 3*(4), 305–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X

Kenya. (2003). *Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act, 2003*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2012a). *Leadership and Integrity Act, 2012*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2012b). *Public Finance Management Act, 2012*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2015a). *Fair Administrative Action Act, 2015*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2015b). *Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2016a). *Access to Information Act, 2016*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2016b). *Anti-Bribery Act, 2016*. Government Printer.

Kenya. (2019). *Data Protection Act, 2019*. Government Printer.

Moore, M. H. (1995). *Creating public value: Strategic management in government*. Harvard University Press.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2020). *OECD public integrity handbook*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/ac8ed8e8-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2020). *OECD public integrity handbook: Risk management*. OECD Publishing.

Office of the Auditor-General. (2025). *Reports of the Auditor-General on national government ministries, departments and agencies*. Office of the Auditor-General.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

United Nations. (2004). *United Nations Convention against Corruption*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.

Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39*(1), 93–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971